

From: [REDACTED]
To: [West Midlands Interchange](#)
Subject: Response to late submission letter by Eversheds Sutherland
Date: 02 February 2020 18:23:54

In response to the new wording in the letter by Eversheds Sutherland, acting for Four Ashes Ltd dated 13th December 2019. They want to change the wording in relation to rail terminal and connections being built to read 'SHOULD' rather than 'MUST'. I am against this new wording as it could mean in the future that the rail freight could be changed to just road freight. The word 'MUST' needs to remain in the contract so the plan can't be changed, if it goes ahead. The green belt land would be lost and it would be detrimental to the area with a higher volume of HGV traffic on our already congested roads. I am against any plans to go ahead at all, so that we don't lose our green belt land and add to a more polluted atmosphere.

Regards K Lowe

Sent from my iPad